

## COVER SHEET (PAGE 1 of 2)

## May 1998 CALFED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROPOSAL SOLICITATION

Proposal Title: Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program  
 Applicant Name: Sacramento River Preservation Trust  
 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 5366 Chico, CA 95927  
 Telephone: (530) 345-1865  
 Fax: (530) 899-5105

Amount of funding requested: \$ 4,782,575 for 4 years

Indicate the Topic for which you are applying (check only one box). Note that this is an important decision: see page    of the Proposal Solicitation Package for more information.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Passage Assessment  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Passage Improvements    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Floodplain and Habitat Restoration                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel Restoration           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Harvest   | <input type="checkbox"/> Species Life History Studies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Planning/Implementation                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Education                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Screen Evaluations - Alternatives and Biological Priorities |   |

Indicate the geographic area of your proposal (check only one box):

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sacramento River Mainstem              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sacramento Tributary: <u>                    </u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> East Side Delta Tributary: <u>                    </u>       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Suisun Marsh and Bay                   | <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin Tributary: <u>                    </u>           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Mainstem             | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>                    </u>                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape (entire Bay-Delta watershed) | <input type="checkbox"/> North Bay: <u>                    </u>                       |

Indicate the primary species which the proposal addresses (check no more than two boxes):

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin and East-side Delta tributaries fall-run chinook salmon |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Winter-run chinook salmon                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spring-run chinook salmon |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Late-fall run chinook salmon  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fall-run chinook salmon              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta smelt   | <input type="checkbox"/> Longfin smelt                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Splittail   | <input type="checkbox"/> Steelhead trout                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Green sturgeon  | <input type="checkbox"/> Striped bass                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Migratory birds   |   |

COVER SHEET (PAGE 2 of 2)

May 1998 CALFED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROPOSAL SOLICITATION

Indicate the type of applicant (check only one box):


- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State agency                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Federal agency        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public/Non-profit joint venture | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-profit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local government/district       | <input type="checkbox"/> Private party         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> University                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____          |

Indicate the type of project (check only one box):

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Planning   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring | <input type="checkbox"/> Education                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Research   |  |

By signing below, the applicant declares the following:

- (1) the truthfulness of all representations in their proposal;
- (2) the individual signing the form is entitled to submit the application on behalf of the applicant (if applicant is an entity or organization); and
- (3) the person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section II.K) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal on behalf of the applicant, to the extent as provided in the Section.

  
(Signature of Applicant)

## II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**A. PROJECT TITLE** Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program  
**APPLICANT NAME** Sacramento River Preservation Trust

**B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** In August of 1995, a regional watershed protection and restoration directed organization (For the Sake of the Salmon) distributed an information gathering survey to watershed groups in the Pacific Region of Washington, Oregon, and California. The results revealed a need for watershed program support that included funding for watershed coordinator positions and support in the form of training and access to technical experts and information.

For the Sake of the Salmon (FSCS) responded by securing funds to develop a watershed support services program. The program provided grants for watershed coordinators, a computer purchase/upgrade project, watershed training workshops and technical support. The effort was well received and recognizes that additional support is necessary.

This proposal intends to adapt the model of the watershed support service program for the Sacramento River Basin and implement a four-year program. FSCS has supported 30 watershed coordinators and conducted 15 workshops in the states of Washington, Oregon and California. The Sacramento River Basin received funding for two watershed coordinators, a grant to purchase a new computer and a watershed workshop. The proposed watershed support program can efficiently and effectively adapt the criteria and processes by convening a multi-stakeholder steering committee to review and revise the program materials developed by For the Sake of the Salmon.

The four-year program will provide watershed groups with funding complemented by a match requirement, to support up to 30 watershed coordinators, conduct a total of 20 watershed workshops and provide grants for computer equipment. The total amount of dollars available to support the coordinators is \$2.85 million. The production of the workshops will cost \$150,000. The total grant awards for the computers will be \$30,000. The total direct support is \$3.03 million.

Our primary biological and ecological objectives are to encourage a holistic watershed protection and restoration strategy for salmon and steelhead that will assist in improving the ecological health of the Bay-Delta and support sustainable populations of diverse and valuable plant and animal species.

**C. APPROACH/TASKS/SCHEDULE:** This approach includes multi-stakeholder involvement in watershed plan development and implementation of protection and rehabilitation projects. This project does not intend to duplicate efforts that already exist. The objectives of the proposed project will seek to:

1. Promote and support the development of multi-stakeholder watershed groups.
2. Encourage and support community involvement.
3. Provide a forum for coordination and communication between government programs and local watershed efforts.
4. Promote monitoring and evaluation of watershed protection and restoration projects.

**D. JUSTIFICATION FOR PROJECT:** Restoration of aquatic ecosystems requires an integrated, broad-based approach that draws on an interdisciplinary approach. The public has become increasingly aware of this need, and numerous citizen watershed groups have formed. These organizations, if guided and supported, can be a valuable impetus for effective aquatic ecosystem restoration and, in some cases, a valuable source of volunteer labor to accomplish restoration. This new emphasis on resource stewardship and restoration needs public support and involvement. Without the administrative support of a watershed coordinator many

organizations loose ground and often times have to start over on tasks that are left partially completed. In addition, watershed protection and restoration efforts suffer from a lack of continuity due to sporadic funding. This program will provide funding to at least 30 groups over a period of 3 years. This will ensure that the educational programs aimed at raising the level of public knowledge and comprehension of aquatic ecosystem restoration have an adequate opportunity to become established and to succeed at the local community level.

**E. BUDGET COSTS AND THIRD PARTY IMPACTS:** Budgets have been developed for a four year program and are \$ 974,427 for the first year, \$1,563,347 for the second year, \$1,519,591 for the third year and \$725,211 for the fourth year. There are no third party effects associated with the proposed program.

**F. APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS:** The Sacramento River Preservation Trust (Trust) was incorporated as a private, non-profit, tax-exempt organization in 1984. The Trust is dedicated to the preservation and restoration of the natural values of the Sacramento River and her environs.

Since its inception, the Trust has been actively involved in a wide variety of watershed activities including the SB1086 process, the Spring Run Chinook Salmon Workgroup, the Sacramento River Watershed Program, the Watershed Advisory Committees of Mill, Deer and Butte Creek, and the Big Chico Creek Watershed Alliance. The Trust has also been the recipient of a Central Valley Project Improvement Act Restoration Fund land acquisition grant and served on one of the Technical Review Teams during the first CALFED grant cycle.

**G. MONITORING AND DATA EVALUATION:** The program will either convene or utilize an existing multi-stakeholder Steering Committee to provide oversight in the development, implementation, evaluation and review of the processes, criteria and concepts of the program. The infrastructure and processes for measuring the success of the coordinators has been developed and examples of reports are available for review. A program progress report will be prepared and submitted to CALFED quarterly. The program will also develop a final report for each funding cycle. The report will contain background and history of the watershed groups; a list of major accomplishments barriers and concerns identified by the coordinators and a record of activities completed from the work plan objectives of each coordinator. A summary of each workshop will also be included as well as information on the extent and nature of the computer upgrades.

**H. LOCAL SUPPORT AND COORDINATION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS:** This program is intended to provide support services to the local watershed communities found in the Sacramento River Basin through the provision of grants and workshops. As such, the successful applicants will be both participants in the program and collaborators in its implementation. The proposed program builds on the successes of FSC05 and the ongoing coordination activities of the Trust. As such, it is intended to support the efforts of other watershed stewardship programs and groups. We anticipate no problems in implementing this program.

### III. TITLE PAGE

- A. PROPOSAL TITLE: Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program
- B. APPLICANT NAME: Sacramento River Preservation Trust
- PROJECT DIRECTOR: John Merz
- PROGRAM MANAGER: Dennis Wise
- MAILING ADDRESS: Sacramento River Preservation Trust  
P.O. Box 5366  
Chico, CA 95927
- TELEPHONE: (530) 345-1865  
FAX: (530) 899-5105
- C. STATUS: Non-profit Organization  
TAX STATUS: 501(c)(3)
- D. TAX ID NUMBER: 68-0025584
- E. PARTICIPANTS/COLLABORATORS: THIS program is intended to provide support services to the local watershed communities found in the Sacramento River Basin through the provision of grants and workshops. As such, the successful applicants will be both participants in the program and collaborators in its implementation.

#### IV. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** In August of 1995, a regional watershed protection and restoration directed organization (For the Sake of the Salmon) distributed an information gathering survey to watershed groups in the Pacific Region of Washington, Oregon, and California. The results revealed a need for watershed program support that included funding for watershed coordinator positions and support in the form of training and access to technical experts and information.

For the Sake of the Salmon (FSCS) responded by securing funds to develop a watershed support services program. The program provided grants for watershed coordinators, a computer purchase/upgrade project, watershed training workshops and technical support. The effort was well received, but fell far short of the demand.

This proposal intends to adapt the model of the watershed support service program for the Sacramento River Basin and implement a four-year program. FSCS has supported 30 watershed coordinators and conducted 15 workshops in the states of Washington, Oregon and California. The Sacramento River Basin received funding for two watershed coordinators, a grant to purchase a new computer and a watershed workshop. The proposed watershed support program can efficiently and effectively adapt the criteria and processes by convening a multi-stakeholder steering committee to review and revise the program materials developed by For the Sake of the Salmon.

The four-year program will provide watershed groups with funding, complemented by a match requirement, to support up to 30 watershed coordinators, conduct a total of 20 watershed workshops and provide grants for computer equipment. The total amount of dollars available to support the coordinators is \$2.85 million. The production of the workshops will cost \$150,000. The total grant awards for the computers will be \$30,000. The total direct support is \$3.03 million.

Our primary biological and ecological objectives are to encourage a holistic watershed protection and restoration strategy for salmon and steelhead that will assist in improving the ecological health of the Bay-Delta and support sustainable populations of diverse and valuable plant and animal species.

#### Background

##### **What is a local watershed group and why have one?**

Watershed groups are locally organized, voluntary, non-regulatory groups established to improve the condition of watersheds in their local area. Watershed groups offer local residents the opportunity to independently evaluate watershed conditions and identify opportunities to restore or enhance the conditions. Through the watershed groups, partnerships between residents, landowners, local, state and federal agency staff and other groups can be developed. Through these partnerships and the resulting integration of local efforts, the state's watersheds can be protected and enhanced.

##### **What is the benefit to local communities of forming a watershed group?**

Watershed groups are made up of people from the local communities. They represent local knowledge and have ties to the existing community in all its complexity. Watershed groups work across jurisdictional boundaries and across agency mandates to look at the watershed more holistically. The group can be a forum to bring local, state and federal land management agencies and plans together with local property owners and private land managers. The watershed group forum provides local people with a voice in natural resource management, which can significantly influence watershed management decisions.

### **What does a watershed group do?**

A watershed group brings varied interests together in a non-regulatory setting to form a common vision for the ecological and economic sustainability and livability of their watershed. The groups often identify landowner participants for important projects, develop priorities for local projects and establish goals and standards for future conditions in the watershed. On-site projects are implemented in an effort to enhance the ability of the watershed to capture, store and beneficially release water. Education projects are undertaken to inform people about watershed processes and functions. Watershed groups provide a coordinated, broad based review of land management plans to local, state and federal decision-makers.

This project does not intend to duplicate efforts that already exist. The project will seek to:

#### **Promote watershed protection and rehabilitation strategies:**

- Assessment
- Watershed protection and rehabilitation planning
- Action plan implementation
- Monitoring/evaluation
- Adaptive management
- Public outreach and education

#### **Provide a forum for coordination and communication between government programs and local watershed efforts:**

- Coordinate with and share technical information and watershed resource materials with existing projects.
- Manage and distribute funds to upgrade or purchase computer equipment for watershed groups to improve access to internet information and communication networks.

#### **Promote and support development of multi-stakeholder watershed groups:**

- Recognize that watershed groups can be effective organizations for developing plans and implementing efforts to maintain, restore and enhance watersheds.
- Implement a funding project to support watershed coordinator positions.

#### **Encourage and support community involvement:**

- Recognize the need to assist local communities in developing voluntary watershed groups.
- Conduct watershed workshops for watershed coordinators, private landowners, private citizens, key community leaders, local business, and government representatives.
- Develop training and education topics for each workshop through conversations with local watershed organizations, private citizens, local resource experts and government representatives within a specific geographical area. This ensures the best possible fit with local priorities.
- Utilize local community resource professionals where possible as workshop presenters.
- The topics and training sessions may include, but are not limited to the following:
- Develop a public information and stakeholder outreach plan.
- Conduct a watershed assessment.
- Develop within the community and resource agencies a common base of knowledge of the watershed ecology and the life cycle of the plants and animals that live in the watersheds.
- Develop and implement a watershed action plan.
- Protect and/or rehabilitate the ecological functions of the watershed.
- Develop and plan habitat rehabilitation projects.
- Monitor and evaluate protection and restoration efforts.
- Develop partnerships and promote a stewardship ethic among the residents and landowners of the watershed.

- Work with government programs and processes.
- Organize and manage a watershed group.
- Work effectively with the news media.
- Identify funding sources.
- Develop skills to prepare grant proposals.
- Develop watershed group communication and support networks.
- Improve access to technical support, information and materials.

**B. PROPOSED SCOPE OF PROJECT WORK:** This proposal is written to support a four-year program. Please keep in mind that the budgets and products may need to be fine-tuned for each successive year. The project evaluations, participant input and Steering Committee review will all contribute to the ability to adapt the program to the needs of the Sacramento River Basin. The following outlines provide a basic overview of the scope of the program.

#### **Pilot Year 1998/1999**

##### **Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program (OCT 1998 - CALFED Grant Award)**

**Convene Watershed Support Services Program Multi-Stakeholder Steering Committee (October, 1998)**

The committee will be involved in reviewing and adapting the various elements of the FSCS program to the needs of the Sacramento River Basin.

##### **Coordinator Grant Project**

This project will fund up to 15 watershed coordinators for a 12-month period.

1. Application Process Review (Mid Nov. 1998)
2. Application Announcement (Mid Dec. 1998)
3. Application Deadline (End of Jan. 1999)
4. Application Scoring (Mid Feb. 1999)
5. Grant Awards (First Week March 1999)
6. Contracts Signed (Mid March, 1999)

##### **Workshop Project**

This project will encompass five workshops over a twelve-month period.

Two types of workshops will be designed to address the needs of watershed organizations. The first will be a basin-wide watershed coordinator workshop. This workshop will provide technical training sessions on such issues as watershed assessment, restoration techniques, conflict resolution, community building, non-profit management, etc. The second type of workshop is a more regionally-based workshop focusing on topics identified by the various watershed communities. These workshops will ensure that the watershed organizations are adequately prepared to implement watershed protection and restoration strategies.

1. Review Workshop Concepts and Strategies (Jan.)
2. Develop Format for Watershed Coordinator Interview/Survey (Jan)
3. Conduct Watershed Coordinator Interview/Survey (Jan)
4. Review Interview/Survey Results (Feb)
5. Develop Workshop Priorities (Feb)
6. Watershed Coordinator Workshop (April)
7. Watershed Workshop I (May)
8. Watershed Workshop II (June)
9. Watershed Workshop III (September)
10. Watershed Workshop IV (October)



#### **Computer Upgrade/ Purchase Project**

This project will provide up to 15 grants to watershed groups.

1. Review Computer Upgrade/ Purchase Process and Criteria (April)
2. Inventory Funded Watershed Coordinator Computer Equipment (April)
3. Assess Needs Based on Inventory and Award Grants (May)

The program will provide a final report to CALFED following the end of the initial cycle of contracts.

#### **Second Year 1999/ 2000**

##### **Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program**

Apply for continued support through CALFED (July 1999) if not awarded multi-year funding.

#### **Coordinator Grant Project**

##### **Renewal**

1. Renewal Process Review (First week of Dec 1999)
  2. Renewal Application Distribution (Mid Dec 1999)
  3. Renewal Application Deadline (Mid Jan, 2000)
  4. Renewal Scoring (Mid Feb 2000)
  5. Grant Renewal Awards (First Week March 2000)
  6. Contracts Signed (Mid March, 2000)
- Renew up to 15 watershed coordinator contracts for 12 months.

##### **New**

7. Application Review (Mid Nov. 1999)
  8. Application Criteria and Process Review (First week of Dec 1999)
  9. Application Announcement (Mid Dec 1999)
  10. Application Deadline (End Jan 2000)
  11. Application Scoring (Mid Feb 2000)
  12. Grant Awards (First Week March, 2000) Contracts Signed (Mid March, 2000)
- Fund up to 15 new watershed coordinators for 12 months.

#### **Workshop Project - Year 2000**

Review Results of Workshop Evaluations from 1999 (Jan)

Develop Workshop Priorities (Feb)

Conduct Workshops

1. Watershed Coordinator workshop (April)
2. Watershed Workshop I (May)
3. Watershed Workshop II (June)
4. Watershed Workshop III (September)
5. Watershed Workshop IV (October)

#### **Computer Upgrade/ Purchase Project - 2000**

1. Review Computer Upgrade/ Purchase Process and Criteria (April)
  2. Inventory Funded Watershed Coordinator Computer Equipment (April)
  3. Assess Needs Based on Inventory and Award Grants (May)
- Provide funds for upgrades for 15 watershed coordinators.

The project will provide a final report to CALFED following the end of the current cycle of contracts.

#### **Third Year 2000/ 2001**

##### **Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program**

Apply for continued support through CALFED (July 2000) if not awarded multi-year funding.

**Coordinator Grant Project**

**Renewal**

1. Renewal Process Review (First week of Dec 2000)
  2. Renewal Application Distribution (Mid Dec 2000)
  3. Renewal Application Deadline (End Jan 2001)
  4. Renewal Process and Scoring (Mid Feb 2001)
  5. Grant Renewal Awards (First Week March, 2001)
  6. Contracts Signed (Mid March, 2001)
- Renewal up to 30 watershed coordinator contracts for 12 months.

**Workshop Project - Year 2001**

This project will encompass five workshops over a twelve-month period.

Review Results of Workshop Evaluations from 2000 (Jan)

Develop Workshop Priorities (Feb)

**Conduct Workshops**

1. Watershed Coordinator Workshop (April)
2. Watershed Workshop I (May)
3. Watershed Workshop II (June)
4. Watershed Workshop III (September)
5. Watershed Workshop IV (October)

The project will provide a final report to CALFED following the end of the current cycle of contracts.

**Fourth Year 2001/ 2002**

**Sacramento River Basin Watershed Group Support Program**

Apply for continued support through CALFED (July 2001) if not awarded multi-year funding.

**Coordinator Grant Project Renewal**

1. Renewal Process Review (Mid Nov. 2001)
  2. Renewal Criteria and Process Review (First week of Dec 2001)
  3. Renewal Application Distribution (Mid Dec 2001)
  4. Renewal Application Deadline (End Jan 2002)
  5. Renewal Process and Scoring (Mid Feb 2002)
  6. Grant Renewal Awards (First Week March 2002)
  7. Contracts Signed (Mid March 2002)
- Renewal up to 15 watershed coordinator contracts for 12 months.

**Workshop Project - Year 2002**

Review Results of Workshop Evaluations from 2001 (Jan)

Develop Workshop Priorities (Feb)

**Conduct Workshops**

1. Watershed Coordinator Workshop (Feb)
2. Watershed Workshop I (March)
3. Watershed Workshop II (April)
4. Watershed Workshop III (May)
5. Watershed Workshop IV (October)

The project will provide a final report to CALFED following the end of the current cycle of contracts.

**C LOCATION AND GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDRIES OF THE PROJECT:** The proposed project is within the CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Plan study area, and involves the entire Bay-Delta watershed and its tributaries.

**D EXPECTED BENEFITS:** The primary results of the coordinator funding, watershed workshops and computer grants will be a coordinated effort to develop and implement projects at the local level. The process will engage local citizens and develop a sense of becoming better stewards of the land. The changes in management and behavior that need to occur in land use practices, watershed management and recreational activities will evolve over a long period of time. It is essential to provide stable long-term funding for the watershed coordinator positions to provide continuity to this long-term process.

This program will provide a forum that supports community involvement. The workshops and computer grants will enable local communities to be better prepared to develop watershed action plans, implement protection and restoration strategies and engage local citizens through public outreach activities.

CALFED dollars will be leveraged with a match requirement. The \$285 million for watershed coordinator grants will generate \$1.65 million in additional support services for watershed organizations due to the match requirement.

**E BACKGROUND AND ECOLOGICAL/ BIOLOGICAL/ TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION:** Restoration of aquatic ecosystems requires an integrated, broad-based approach that draws on an interdisciplinary approach. The public has become increasingly aware of this need, and numerous citizen watershed groups have formed. These organizations, if guided and supported, can be a valuable impetus for effective aquatic ecosystem restoration and, in some cases, a valuable source of volunteer labor to accomplish restoration. Public awareness, education and involvement in watershed protection and restoration efforts promote good land stewardship and provide local support for restoration efforts.

The proposed program is community-based, contributes to ongoing watershed stewardship, addresses multiple ecosystem issues, and is consistent with the CALFED Bay-Delta Watershed Management Strategy, the Anadromous Fish Restoration Plan (AFRP), the Federal Clean Water Action Plan, and other watershed efforts such as the Sacramento River Watershed Program. The goal of integrating the various local and regional interests and needs with the objectives of the programs mentioned above is a key ingredient in the proposed program, and is indicative of the types of partnerships called for in the CALFED process.

Species and populations that are at the greatest risk of decline and whose recovery contributes the greatest to the CALFED mission will benefit from the efforts of the proposed watershed support program. Primary and secondary priority species as identified by CALFED and the AFRP will benefit as well as their respective habitats. All of the stressors affecting these priority species and their habitats such as alterations of flows, floodplain and marshplain changes, channel form changes, water quality, water temperatures, etc., stand to be reduced with increased awareness and cooperation at the watershed level.

**F. MONITORING AND DATA EVALUATION:** A Watershed Support Services Program. Multi-stakeholder Steering Committee will be formed to evaluate and review the processes, criteria and concepts of the program. Progress reports will be prepared and submitted to CALFED quarterly and annually by the contract recipients with recommendations after review by the Steering Committee. Presentations at annual review meetings and a final report at the end of the program will also be part of the program. The infrastructure for measuring success is in place and well developed, such as the activity report form section in the coordinator six-month and final report and evaluations from workshop participants. The Steering Committee will be presented with the results of the activities for a comprehensive analysis of the program projects.

## **V. COSTS AND SCHEDULE TO IMPLEMENT PROPOSED PROJECT**

**A. BUDGET COSTS:** See attachment A-1 and A-2

**B. SCHEDULE OF MILESTONES:** It is essential in the first two months of the pilot year to convene a multi-stakeholder Steering Committee. This committee will review the program processes and criteria. In March of each of the four years of the program a watershed coordinator application and grant process will result in coordinator awards to watershed groups. Each group will be responsible for the completion of a six-month and annual contract report. The watershed workshops are scheduled in the spring and fall of each year to accommodate for the field season and availability of participants. A watershed workshop development process will be ongoing. In the spring of the first two years a computer grant award will be completed to coincide with the hiring of watershed coordinators. An annual program progress report will summarize the results of the projects and be reviewed by the Steering Committee.

**C. THIRDPARTY IMPACT:** We anticipate that there will be no third party impacts.

## Attachment A. (1)

Sacramento River Basin Watershed Support Program  
Pilot Year 1998/1999

Project Phase & Task	Direct Labor 18 Months X 3 staff	Direct Salary & Benefits 32%	Overhead Labor (Gen, Admin.) & fee(19%)	Service Contracts	Material & Contracts	Acquisition	Miscellaneous & other Direct Costs	Total Cost by task
Task 1. 20% Program Management	a) 10.8	b) 44,216	10,529		f) 5,800		g) 5,400	55,416
Task 2. 10% Committee Management	5.4	23,488	5,527		2,900		2,700	29,088
Task 3. 30% Coordinator Project Management	16.2	32,371	116,217	c) 562,500	8,700		8,100	611,671
Task 4. 30% Workshop Management	16.2	32,371	16,467	d) 37,500	8,700		8,100	86,671
Task 5. 10% Computer Management	5.4	15,400	6,840	e) 15,000	2,900		2,700	36,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>147,846</b>	<b>155,581</b>	<b>615,000</b>	<b>29,000</b>		<b>27,000</b>	<b>974,427</b>

a) &amp; b): Includes a Program Manager, Natural Resource Specialist &amp; Administrative Assistant for 18 months

c) 15 coordinator contracts for 12 months @ 37,500 each

d) 5 workshops conducted throughout the geographic scope of work @ 7,500 each

e) 1 time computer upgrade award for each watershed group funded - maximum 1,000

f) Purchase/Rent for - (3) Computer - (1) Fax - (1) Copier - (1) Scanner - (3) Phone &amp; Service for 18 months

g) Office Rent &amp; Travel

\*\* Overhead will be used to support a Sacramento River Preservation Trust (Accountant/Contract) Specialist and Project Director

Personnel Months by Task	Program Manager	Res.Special	Admin. Ast	Total Months
Task 1. 20%	8	1	0.8	10.8
Task 2. 10%	4	1	0.4	5.4
Task 3. 30%	2.5	6.5	7.7	16.2
Task 4. 30%	2.5	6.5	7.7	16.2
Task 5. 10%	1	3	1.4	5.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>54</b>

attachment A-2

2nd Year - 1999/2000

Task 1. 20%	10.8	b)	46,425	10,949	5,800	5,400	57,625
Task 2. 10%	5.4		24,662	5,750	i)	2,700	30,262
Task 3. 30%	16.2		33,990	116,525	562,500	8,100	613,290
				92,625	487,500		487,500
Task 4. 30%	16.2		33,990	16,775	37,500	8,100	88,290
Task 5. 10%	5.4		16,170	6,986	15,000	2,700	36,770
Total	54		155,237	249,610	1,102,500	27,000	1,563,347

Changes

h) Increase in salary by 5%

i) 15 coordinator contracts for 12 months @ 37,500 each & 15 @ 32,500 each

3rd Year - 2000/2001

Task 1. 20%	10.8	j)	46,425	10,949	5,800	5,400	57,625
Task 2. 10%	5.4		24,662	5,750	k)	2,700	30,262
Task 3. 30%	16.2		33,990	116,525	562,500	8,100	613,290
				92,625	487,500		487,500
Task 4. 30%	16.2		33,990	16,775	37,500	8,100	88,290
Total	48.6		139,067	242,624	1,087,500	24,300	1,519,591

Changes

j) Increase in salary by 5%

k) 15 coordinator contracts for 12 months @25,000 each & 15 @ 32,500 each

Task 5. Completed -reduces salaries and benefiets by 5.4 months

4th year - 2001/2002

Task 1. 20%	10.8	l)	48,746	11,390	5,800	5,400	59,946
Task 2. 10%	5.4		25,895	5,984	m)	2,700	31,495
Task 3. 30%	16.2		35,690	81,318	375,500	8,100	427,990
Task 4. 30%	16.2		35,690	17,098	37,500	8,100	89,990
Total	48.6		146,021	115,790	413,000	24,300	725,211

Changes

j) Increase in salary by 5%

m) 15 coordinator contracts for 12 months @25,000 each

Task 5. Completed -reduces salaries and benefiets by 5.4 months

Total Program	206.2		588,171	763,604	3,218,000	110,200	4,782,575
---------------	-------	--	---------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------

## VI. APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS

The planned organizational structure, duties, and qualifications are as follows:

### Program Director (John Merz)

John is the Chief Executive Officer of the Sacramento River Preservation Trust. John helped co-found the Trust in 1984 and has been CEO since 1986.

Responsibilities will include:

- General oversight of the watershed group support program

### Program Manager (Dennis Wise)

Dennis comes with direct experience. He has been the Watershed Program Manager for the FSCS program since its inception. He comes with a great deal of expertise and understanding of watershed group support programs and the dynamics thereof. He has experience working with public involvement programs to restore fish populations and related habitat. He will be responsible for the day-to-day implementation of the watershed support program.

Responsibilities will include:

- Serve as representative to local programs directed at watershed group development and support.
- Serve as Project Manager for workshops & training programs.
- Draft and oversee budgets for workshops, computer upgrades and special contracts related to the watershed support program.
- Oversee purchases and equipment needs for watershed support projects.
- Prepare contracts for private consultants and non-governmental organizations. Oversee adherence by contractors to meet contract obligations.
- Serve as a representative at meetings, committees, workshops and public forums relating to salmon recovery strategies and efforts as they relate to the program goals.

### Natural Resource Specialist (Timmarie Hamill)

Timmarie has a strong knowledge of environmental law and policy, and education and training in the biological sciences.

### Administrative Assistant (position to be filled)

Resumes for the above listed individuals are available upon request.

## **VII. COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

The applicants agree to comply with the entire standard Terms and Conditions of the CALFED Category III PSP.

The certification for a Drug Free Workplace as required under the Terms and Conditions of the 1998 Category III PSP.



**Certifications Regarding Debarment, Suspension and  
Other Responsibility Matters, Drug-Free Workplace  
Requirements and Lobbying**

Persons signing this form should refer to the regulations referenced below for complete instructions:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions - The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled, "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. See below for language to be used or use this form for certification and sign. (See Appendix A of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions - (See Appendix B of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements - Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals) and Alternate II. (Grantees Who are Individuals) - (See Appendix C of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12)

Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 43 CFR Parts 12 and 18. The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of the Interior determines to award the covered transaction, grant, cooperative agreement or loan.

---

**PART A: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters -  
Primary Covered Transactions**

---

*CHECK ☒ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.*

---

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
- (a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;
  - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
  - (c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and
  - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

---

**PART B: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -  
Lower Tier Covered Transactions**

---

*CHECK ☒ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.*

---

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

DI-2010  
 June 1996  
 (This form replaces DI-1957, DI-1964,  
 DI-1969, DI-1992 and DI-1993)

**PART E: Certification Regarding Lobbying**  
**Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements**

*CHECK ☒ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT; SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.*


*CHECK ☐ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF A FEDERAL LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000, OR A SUBGRANT OR SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$100,000, UNDER THE LOAN.*

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

As the authorized certifying official, I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true.

  
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

John B. Merz      Chairperson, Board of Directors

TYPED NAME AND TITLE

7/02/98

DATE

DI-2010  
June 1985  
(This form replaces DI-1963, DI-1964,  
DI-1965, DI-1966 and DI-1967)